

The Management and Board of Directors
HCL Infosystems Limited
Sector XI,
Noida, India

Independent Assurance Statement

Ernst & Young Pvt. Ltd. has been engaged by HCL Infosystems Limited (the 'Company') to provide independent assurance to its Greenhouse Gas Emissions Report pertaining to the period 1st April 2007 to 31st March 2008 and 1st April 2009 to 31st March 2010 (the Report).

The development of the Report, its content, and presentation is the sole responsibility of the management of the Company. Our responsibility is to provide assurance to the report contents. However, this assurance statement should not be taken as a basis for interpreting the Company's performance across the scope of issues covered in the Report. Our responsibility in performing our assurance activities is to the management of the Company only and in accordance with the terms of reference agreed with the Company. We do not therefore accept or assume any responsibility for any other purpose or to any other person or entity.

Reporting criteria and assurance standard

The Company has indicated in the Report that they have followed boundary definition of Scope 1 and Scope 2 as provided in the GHG Protocol¹ issued by World Resources Institute (WRI) and World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD).

Our assurance is in accordance with International Federation of Accountants 'International Standard for Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information' (ISAE 3000), and our conclusions are for 'limited' assurance as set out in ISAE 3000.

Scope of assurance and methodology

The scope of our work for this assurance engagement was limited to review of information pertaining to Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions for the period 1st April 2007 to 31st March 2008 for select 22 sites and 1st April 2009 to 31st March 2010 for select 44 sites as described in Annexure 1 & 2 of the Report.

The engagement was performed by our multidisciplinary team of professionals specializing in the field of corporate sustainability and carbon footprinting. For review and verification of information, our team visited the units mentioned below, where sample verifications were conducted on selected data trails:

- Rudrapur, Uttaranchal – Factory;
- Pondicherry – Factory;
- Pahadi Shareef, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh - Training center;
- Marol, Mumbai, Maharashtra - Marketing office ;
- Sector XI Noida, Uttar Pradesh - Corporate office.

For other locations, information was reviewed based on documents provided to us at the Company's corporate office at Noida.

Our review and verification methodology comprised of:

- Review of the Report for any major anomaly between the reported data and source data;
- Review of the data collection protocols and calculation methodology with respect to GHG protocol;
- Execution of audit trail of sample data streams to determine the level of accuracy in collection, transcription and aggregation processes followed;
- Review of selected Scope 1 and Scope 2 data, which included:
 - Scope 1- Emissions resulting from use of high speed diesel (HSD) and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) in stationary sources;
 - Scope 2- Emissions resulting from use of purchased grid electricity.

¹ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol – A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard

Limitations of our engagement

The assurance scope excludes:

- Aspects of the Report other than those mentioned above;
- Data and information outside the defined reporting period and sites - 1st April 2007 to 31st March 2008 (22 sites) and 1st April 2009 to 31st March 2010 (44 sites);
- The qualitative claims of performance included in the Report and the Company's statements that describe expression of opinion, belief, inference, aspiration, expectation, aim or future intention.

Observations

During our review process, we observed that:

- The Company has shown commitment to capture relevant Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions;
- Documentation and data management systems related to consumption of high speed diesel and emission factor for electricity may be strengthened;
- The approach of extrapolation of the emission data of select sites based on floor area for estimating indicative GHG emissions of 210 sites seems reasonable; however, collection of actual data would help the Company report its GHG emissions more accurately.

Conclusion

Based on our scope of assurance and methodology, nothing has come to our attention that causes us not to believe that the Report has covered significant material aspects in a balanced manner, with regard to the Company's GHG emissions.

Ernst & Young Private Limited



Partner

Kolkata, India

Dated: August 03, 2011